

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ENTRY
OF (1) AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
WHY PLAINTIFFS WHO HAVE
SUBMITTED NON-BONA FIDE
RECEIPTS SHOULD NOT BE
DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE AND (2)
A CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
ADDRESSING CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS
WHO HAVE NOT SUBMITTED
RECEIPTS**

This Document Relates to:

Doe (LR) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-04306-CRB

DOE (KH) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-04326-CRB

Doe (YE) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-04330-CRB

Doe (TW) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-04356-CRB

Doe (E.N.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-04370-CRB

Doe (EB) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05110-CRB

Doe (D.G.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05169-CRB

Doe (CH) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05340-CRB

DOE (JH) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05341-CRB

Doe (TM) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05764-CRB

Doe (K.M.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05796-CRB

Doe (EP) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05946-CRB

Doe (AR) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05947-CRB

Doe (CS) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-05964-CRB

Doe (E.W.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-06073-CRB

Doe (JS) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-06075-CRB

DOE (SS) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-06076-CRB

Doe NLG (NC) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:25-cv-00069-CRB

Doe NLG (BH) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:25-cv-00369-CRB

Doe NLG (AB) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:25-cv-01079-CRB

Doe NLG (JV) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-08622-CRB

Having considered Defendants’ Motion for Entry of (1) An Order To Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed With Prejudice And (2) A Case Management Order Addressing Certain Plaintiffs Who Have Not Submitted Receipts (“Motion”)(ECF No. 3604), Plaintiffs’ Opposition, timely replies thereto, arguments of counsel (if any), the pleadings on file, the applicable law, and all other matters properly before the Court, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. Defendants’ Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause is DENIED.
2. Defendants’ Motion for Entry of a Case Management Order is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Court Judge